Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

The undersigned 109 organizations are committed to working with Congress and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on the successful implementation of Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA). To that end, we are seeking your intervention this year with a technical correction that ensures the Merit-based Incentive Payment (MIPS) score adjustment is not applied to Part B drug payments. Since the 2018 MIPS year has begun, it is imperative that Congress acts quickly to ensure that patient access to critical treatments is not negatively impacted.

MACRA was bi-partisan Congressional action meant to promote and incentivize both quality and value for patients. Under MACRA, Congress clearly established a range of bonuses and penalties to which providers could be subjected through the MIPS adjustments. Included in the final Quality Payment Program rule released in November, CMS is moving forward with applying MIPS adjustments to Part B drugs in addition to fee schedule services. This application of the adjustment is not in line with the goals of MACRA, is a significant departure from current policy, and would disproportionately affect certain specialties.

Medicare Part B is vital to maintaining the health of seniors and individuals with disabilities. Not only does the program cover routine medical care provided in a doctor’s office, it also covers medications administered in an outpatient setting. These medications are administered to some of the most vulnerable patients enrolled in Medicare since they typically treat serious conditions including cancer, macular degeneration, hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, mental illness, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and primary immunodeficiency diseases.

We believe this policy could make it more difficult for physicians and other healthcare providers, particularly those in small practices and in rural settings, to administer Part B medications in their communities, creating a dire patient access issue. Some patients already face access challenges because the budget sequester has eroded reimbursements to physicians, and this policy would exacerbate these problems. Patients would be left with fewer locations where they could receive care, resulting in less access and higher costs. A growing number of patients would then have to seek care in a hospital, which would result in higher out of pocket expenses and, particularly in rural communities, may require traveling a longer distance to receive care.
Further, changes to reimbursement structures could necessitate patients receiving care in other locations or from other physicians, altering carefully established treatment plans that are currently keeping patients stable, ultimately creating undue burden and safety concerns for patients who depend on these life changing, physician-administered drugs. We believe this policy is not consistent with Congressional goals in the bipartisan passage of MACRA. In the final rule, CMS states that the statute leaves them no flexibility in how to implement policy. If left as is, this policy will negatively impact patients’ access to critical life and sight-saving treatments by putting specialties that provide high cost drugs at risk. It will significantly amplify the range of bonuses and penalties intended by MACRA, only for certain specialties.

Given the substantial Congressional support for a message to CMS to reevaluate their interpretation of the MACRA statute, we were deeply disappointed that CMS did not heed that request. We now need Congress to act swiftly to correct this policy and ensure patients have access to all the services and treatments they need. We stand ready to work with you on ensuring the implementation of MACRA is successful. Thank you for your consideration.
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